EMAP Report

					אורוו ווע	<u> </u>				-						
Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Q4 2004/05	Q1 2005/06	Q2 2005/06	Q3 2005/06	Q4 2005/06							
		% of Highways of acceptable or high standard of	. 3.							1						
1		cleanliness							No Longer							
	VH1		92%	Quarterly	95.13	98.76	91.56	94.4	Reported]						
Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	2004/05	2005/06										
		AHM % satisfaction – communal areas														
	LPI7		80%	Annual	75	74.4										
		AHM % dissatisfaction – communal areas														
	LPI8		10%	Annual	17	11.3										
	LITO	Commercial Services Annual Parks survey, % of visitors	1078	Ailiuai	17	11.0										
		thought the Parks were of a good standard	050/	A	0.40/	07.750/										
	BVPI 199	% of relevant land and highways as defined under EPA	65%	Annual	94%	97.75%										
	BVPI 199	1990 Part IV section 86 that is assessed as having														
		combined deposits of litter and detritus			0.407	Now split,										
-	D)/DI 100-		23.60%	Annual	24%	see below										
1	BVPI 199a	% of relevant land & highways that is assessed as having combined deposits of 'litter' and 'detritus' that fall below														
		acceptable levels	00.000		NI 05/0-	0001										
	DVDI 1005	1 '	23.60%	Annual	New 05/06	22%										
	BVPI 199b	% of relevant land & highways from which unacceptable levels of 'graffiti' are visible														
l		levels of graffill are visible														
	DVDI 400	The association of lead 0 blobs are formers to 200	4%	Annual	New 05/06	8%										
	BVPI 199c	The proportion of land & highways (expressed as a %) from														
		which unacceptable levels of 'fly-posting' is visible														
			1%	Annual	New 05/06	1%										
	BVPI 199d	The year on year reduction in the total number of incidents														
		and increase in total number of enforcement action taken to														
	DVD1 445	deal with 'fly-tipping'	3	Annual	New 05/06	3										
	BVPI 119e	% of residents satisfied with the cultural & recreational														
		provision in the city - Parks and Open Spaces														
	20112		80%	Annual	70%	76%										
	COLI 6	% of tenants satisfied with the maintenance of local open														
		spaces														
	_		85.50%	Annual	84.20%	76.70%										
	BVPI 89	% of people satisfied with local cleanliness														
1																
		1	62%	Annual	63%	61%							_			
			_								Oct	Mari	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure (1)	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	OCI	Nov				
		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10		Freq			No					No		No	No	
SS 1a		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days	Target		Apr No Complaints	May 100% (2)		Jul 100% (1)	Aug 100% (1)	100% (1)	50% (2)		100% (1)		No Complaints	100% (
SS 1a		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10	100%	Freq Monthly	No Complaints	100% (2)	No	100% (1)	100% (1)	100% (1)	50% (2)	No Complaints	100% (1)	No Complaints	Complaints	
		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days Number of compliments received		Freq			No					No		No		100% (
SS 1a SS 2		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days	100%	Freq Monthly Monthly	No Complaints	100% (2)	No Complaints	100% (1)	100% (1)	100% (1)	50% (2)	No Complaints	100% (1)	No Complaints	Complaints 3	2
SS 1a		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days Number of compliments received Days lost per FTE	100%	Freq Monthly	No Complaints	100% (2)	No	100% (1)	100% (1)	100% (1)	50% (2)	No Complaints	100% (1)	No Complaints	Complaints	2
SS 1a SS 2 HR S1		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days Number of compliments received	100% ↑	Monthly Monthly Monthly	No Complaints 2 1.3	100% (2) 5 1	No Complaints 1 0.7	100% (1) 5 0.83	100% (1) 6 1.2	100% (1)	50% (2) 0 1.22	No Complaints 0 0.92	100% (1) 2 1.17	No Complaints 1 1.55	3 0.89	0.98
SS 1a SS 2		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days Number of compliments received Days lost per FTE No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness	100%	Freq Monthly Monthly	No Complaints	100% (2)	No Complaints	100% (1)	100% (1)	100% (1)	50% (2)	No Complaints	100% (1)	No Complaints	Complaints 3	2
SS 1a SS 2 HR S1		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days Number of compliments received Days lost per FTE No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness Number of complaints / service requests not resolved in	100% ↑ ↓	Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly	No Complaints 2 1.3	100% (2) 5 1	No Complaints 1 0.7	100% (1) 5 0.83	100% (1) 6 1.2	100% (1) 6 1.04	50% (2) 0 1.22 88	No Complaints 0 0.92	100% (1) 2 1.17 92	No Complaints 1 1.55	3 0.89 68	2 0.98 74
SS 1a SS 2 HR S1		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days Number of compliments received Days lost per FTE No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness Number of complaints / service requests not resolved in target time of 5 days	100% ↑	Monthly Monthly Monthly	No Complaints 2 1.3	100% (2) 5 1	No Complaints 1 0.7	100% (1) 5 0.83	100% (1) 6 1.2	100% (1)	50% (2) 0 1.22	No Complaints 0 0.92	100% (1) 2 1.17	No Complaints 1 1.55	3 0.89	2 0.98 74
SS 1a SS 2 HR S1		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days Number of compliments received Days lost per FTE No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness Number of complaints / service requests not resolved in	100% ↑ ↓	Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly	No Complaints 2 1.3	100% (2) 5 1	No Complaints 1 0.7	100% (1) 5 0.83	100% (1) 6 1.2	100% (1) 6 1.04	50% (2) 0 1.22 88	No Complaints 0 0.92	100% (1) 2 1.17 92	No Complaints 1 1.55	3 0.89 68	0.98

	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
			% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10				No	No		No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
	TC 1a		working days	100%	Monthly	No Complaints	Complaints		100% (1)	Complaints						Complaints	
g			Number of Exor complaints														
I⊹≒	TC 1b			V	Monthly	2	1	4	3	0	6	3	2	2	5	0	
a l			Number of compliments received														
<u>8</u>	TC 2			^	Monthly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ပ			Days lost per FTE														
et	HR S1			Ψ	Monthly	4.8	1.3	0	0	1.19	0.66	0	1.19	0.26	2.92	0.39	
ĕ			No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness														
ĕ	HR S2			4	Monthly	42	10	0	0	9	5	0	9	2	22	3	
-	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	2004/05	2005/06										
			Customer Survey Ops survey Dissatisfied			No Survey	No Survey										
				25%	Annual	Done	Done										

	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
βι			Number of Complaints	100%	Monthly	8	5	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	4	6	4	4	1	0	0
Cleaning	HR S1		Days lost per FTE	4	Monthly	2.92	2.38	1.69	1.86	0.81	1.47	2.07	1.8	2.67	2.54	2.07	2.28	1.3
30	HR S2		No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness	4	Monthly	476	393	133	230	182	220	3.09	291	378	405	344	388	213
00	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	2004/05	2005/06											
1 2			Head Teacher Op survey satisfaction with overall cleaning															
Sch				85%	Annual	100%	86											
0,			Head Teacher Op Survey Dissatisfaction with overall cleaning	10%	Annual	0%	14											
-	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Frea	Apr	Mav	Jun	Jul	Aua	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
ning	BC 1a		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days	<u> </u>	Monthly	No Complaints	No Complaints	No	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints						
Cleanin	HR S1		Days lost per FTE	Ψ	Monthly	2.72	2.35	2.91	1.41	1.72	3.79	2.87	3.05	2.97	3.07	2.22	2.6	2.3
ත			No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness															
اع.	HR S2			Ψ	Monthly	258	222	478	130	157	270	229	274	335	362	251	226	203
₽	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target		Freq	2004/05	2005/06		-	•		•	-	-	•	-	
Building	C2		% of customers satisfied with cleaning standards	95%		Annual	82%	77%										

	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
	C 1a		% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days	→	Monthly	100% (4)	100% (1)	No Complaints	No Complaints	100% (1)	No Complaints	100% (3)	100% (1)	100% (2)	100% (1)	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints
			Number of compliments received															
	C 2				Monthly	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	1	0
			Days lost per FTE															
	HR S1			↓	Monthly	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.59	1.89	2.11	1.56	1.96	1.72	2.26	2.15	2.88	2.79
			No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness															
	HR S2			4	Monthly	135	105	107	124	143	160	115	146	131	175	166	223	209
	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	2004/05	2005/06											
			Condition of footpaths- % of category1, 1a and 2 footpath															
		BVPI 187	network where structural maintenance should be considered	15%	Annual	15.81%	To Be Advised											
		VH 37	% of people satisfied with the condition of roads &	13%	Annuai	15.81%	Advised											
			pavements in York				To Be											
S				52%	Annual	51%	Advised											
Civils	lus Def	Fut Dut	Measure	Taumat	F	Annual Fig	0005/00											
ö	Int Ref	Ext Ref COLI 77a	% of 'obscene' graffiti incidents on highways and council	Target	Freq	04/05	2005/06											
		OOL! //a	owned land responded to within 2 working days				Amended											
				95%	Annual	74.70%	see below											
		COLI 77b	% of 'non obscene' graffiti incidents on highways and council owned land responded to within 5 working days	85%	Annual	76.32%	Amended see below											
		COLI 77a	Average time taken to remove obscene graffiti (days) -															
			amended version	2 Days	Annual	New 05/06	1.98											
		COLI 77b	Average time taken to remove non - obscene graffiti (days) - amended version	2 Days	Alliluai	New 03/06	1.90											
			unicided version	5 Days	Annual	New 05/06	3.27											
	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
		COLI 77a	Average time taken to remove obscene graffiti (days) -															
			amended version	0 D	N.A Alle Is		4.57	4.0	0.4	4.00	1.01	_	N1/A		0.07	0.5	N1/A	N1/A
		COLI 77b	Average time taken to remove non - obscene graffiti (days) -	2 Days	Monthly	3.2	1.57	1.3	3.4	1.33	1.61	1	N/A	0.2	2.67	0.5	N/A	N/A
		001770	amended version															
				5 Days	Monthly	3.74	3.52	2.74	2	2.54	4.53	3	3.57	3.67	4.69	1.69	1	1

	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
	LPI 105		Actual Tonnes of Landfilled waste (started reporting Feb															
			05)	5900	Monthly	6022.46	6090.9	5965.24	5721.52	6870.44	6153.67	5802.48	5688.77	4727.61	4855.74	3329.42	3625.74	4034.29
	C5a		Set out rate															
				65%	Monthly	51%	51%	52%	52%	51%	52%	52%	53%	53%	53%	54%	54%	59%
	C5b		Kilograms of recyclates per collection						<u> </u>	0.70						<u> </u>	0.70	
				6.0kgs	Monthly	4.26	4.26	4.28	4.17	4.34	4.39	4.53	4.69	4.77	4.92	4.94	5.34	5.94
	LPI 108		Actual Tonnes of Recycled waste (started reporting Jan 05)	o.ongo	Wieritrity	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.04	4.00	4.00	4.00	7.77	4.02	4.04	0.04	0.04
					Monthly	734.54	584.22	603.56	633.39	598.76	637.44	582.24	818.67	905.56	877.55	875.66	731.02	785.88
	LPI 109		% of Waste Recycled (started reporting Feb 05)		Wioriting	704.54	304.22	000.50	000.00	330.70	007.44	302.24	010.07	303.30	077.55	073.00	701.02	700.00
					Monthly	10.87	8.75	9.19	9.96	8.01	9.38	9.11	12.55	16.07	15.3	20.82	16.77	16.3
S	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	2004/05	2005/06	9.19	9.90	0.01	9.30	9.11	12.55	16.07	13.3	20.02	10.77	10.3
<u> </u>			Res Op dissatisfaction with refuse collection															
≥	LPI11			5%	Annual	7%	22%											
Services		BVPI 90b	% of people satisfied with waste recycling															
				72%	Annual	73%	70%											
st	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Waste			% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10 working days					No	No									
>	WS 1a		working days	100%	Monthly	100% (4)	100% (1)	Complaints	Complaints	100% (3)	50% (2)	0% (1)	60% (5)	0% (1)	50% (2)	0%(1)	50% (2)	33% (3)
			Days lost per FTE		•													
	HR S1			4	Monthly	2.7	2.4	2.1	2.73	2.49	2.11	2.55	2.39	1.28	1.84	1.71	1.59	1.18
			No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness															
	HR S2			¥	Monthly	244	198	160	214	194	196	222	210	106	149	140	136	97
	111102		No. of missed collections per 100,000	<u> </u>	Wieritrity	2-1-1	100	100	217	104	100		210	100	140	140	100	- 07
		COLI 3		50	Monthly	31	49	51	40	42	54	371	147	99	73	62	86	59
	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	2004/05	2005/06	31	40	42	54	3/1	147	99	73	62	86	59
	, 1101	=,, 1101	Reduction in annual cost of sick pay		1.09													
	F1		Reduction in annual cost of agency staff	£89,350	Annual	New 05/06	£115,876											

	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	2004/05	2005/06
		BVPI 82a	% of the total tonnage of household waste arisings which has been recycled (splits into a & b BVPIs from 2005/6				Now split,
			onwards)	13.58%	Annual	12.88%	see below
		BVPI 82a (I)	% of household waste arisings which have been sent by the Authority of recycling	?	Americal	Na 05/00	10 500/
		BVPI 82a (ii)	Total tonnage of household waste arisings which have been	- 1	Annual	New 05/06	16.50%
			sent by the Authority for recycling	12,560	Annual	12,970	16,100
		BVPI 82b	% of waste sent for composting - including waste which has	12,000	7411100	12,070	10,100
			been treated through a process of anaerobic digestion (splits into a & b BVPIs from 2005/6 onwards	4.93%	Annual	4.89%	Now split, see below
		BVPI 82b (I)	% of household waste sent by the Authority for composting or anaerobic digestion				
				8.69%	Annual	New 05/06	7.57%
(n		BVPI 82b (ii)	Total tonnage of household waste sent by the Authority for composting or anaerobic digestion	0.000	A	4000	7.000
ĕ		BVPI 82d	% of the total tonnage of household waste arisings which	8,920	Annual	4920	7,390
Waste Services		DVITOZU	have been landfilled (splits into a & b BVPIs from 2005/6 onwards)	81%	Annual	82.23	Now split, see below
တိ		BVPI 82d (I)	% of household waste arisings which have been landfilled	V 1,1		<u> </u>	
ste				75.98%	Annual	New 05/06	75.92%
Wa		BVPI 82d (ii)	Total tonnage of household waste arisings which have been landfilled	79 000	Annual	97 790	74.070
		BVPI 84	Number of Kg of household waste collected per head	78,020	Annual	87,780	74,070
				554.4Kg	Annual	546.5Kg	526.78
		COLI 3	Number of missed collections per 100,000 collections of household waste	60	Annual	63.36 (target 50)	97.52
		VW 19	% of missed collections put right by the end of the next working day	95%	Annual	47.02%	60.76%
		BVPI 90a	% of people satisfied with household waste collection	50 /0	/ IIII GGI	41.0L/0	30.7070
				92%	Annual	87%	69%
		BVPI 90b	% of people satisfied with waste recycling				
				72%	Annual	73%	70%
		BVPI 90c	% of people satisfied with waste disposal				
				72%	Annual	69%	67%

Emap 6 Annex1

	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
_			% of complaints responded to and problem solved within 10															i
ing	BR 1a		working days	V	Monthly	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	100% (1)	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	No Complaints	100% (1)
0			Days lost per FTE															
E.	HR S1			→	Monthly	1.3	1.3	1.2	2.26	1.73	2.23	1.75	1.84	1.24	1.38	0.99	0.88	0.8
ω			No of working days/shifts lost due to sickness															
	HR S2			4	Monthly	146	142	127	238	179	245	190	199	135	158	115	101	91

	Int Ref	Ext Ref	Measure	Target	Freq	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
la		BVPI 8	% of invoices for commercial goods and services that where paid by the authority within 30 days of such invoices being received by the authority.	95%	Monthly	98.73%	96.87%	92.36%	96.95%	93.74%	90.50%	97%	97.60%	98%	96%	98%	97%	98%
Gene	CP11a		Number of RIDDOR accidents among Council staff (CSO)	*	Monthly	1	6	0	4	0	2	1	1	1	4	2	2	1
		BVI 12	No of working days /shifts lost due to sickness (Annual Target 12 days)	1	Monthly	2.1	1.7	1.6	1.66	1.5	1.92	1.83	1.86	1.73	2.05	1.56	1.77	1.41